

1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155 Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 657-2666 FAX (916) 654-9780

December 2, 1997

Ms. Leslie Grober Central Valley Region Regional Water Quality Control Board 3443 Routier Road Sacramento, CA IMS G-18

Subject: Response to Comment on CALFED Draft Water Quality Program Component Report

Dear Ms. Grober:

Thank you for your comments regarding Section 4 of the Component Report that addresses sources and loadings to the Delta. Specifically you were concerned about the estimates of TDS Table 4-8 and the need to better define the basin concept and account for background loads. With respect to the loads estimates, you indicate that the data you are familiar with suggests that the annual load at Vernalis on the San Joaquin River is about 1.5 x 10^9 (trillion) pounds per year. On the basis of daily monitoring data (TDS) provided to us by DWR, and daily flow data from the USGS, we estimated that the annual load was about 2.2 trillion lbs/yr. This latter estimate includes a number of assumptions required to combine the relatively sparse water quality data with the almost continuous flow record. This estimate was erroneously entered as an agricultural load in Table 4-8, whereas it was intended that loads estimated using in-river data would represent basin loads. Thus, we would agree that your estimate of 1.5 trillion pounds per year is the right order of magnitude, and is the best we can do with this data.

Let me now address your second point, what is meant by basin loads? The intent was to use outfall or drain data wherever available to estimate activity-specific loads (e.g., agricultural or urban) and to use river data to estimate the total basin loads. Ideally then, the basin loads and the sum of the individual activity-specific (or source) loads could be compared for reasonableness (really an order of magnitude check). The estimate for the basin load in Table 4-8 (0.7 x 10^9) is not correct and should have been based on the calculations described above which yielded 2.2 trillion lbs/yr. and perhaps the 1995 CL/WA report (Study of Drinking Water Quality in Delta Tributaries) which yields about 3 trillion-lbs/yr.

You are correct that, ideally, we would like to distinguish background loads from loads associated with human activity and we will certainly qualify the data so the reader is aware that load estimates include background. We do feel that isolating background from man-made loads is a major research effort and outside the scope of the requirements of the PEIS/EIR.

California

The Resources Agency
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Water Resources
California Environmental Protection Agency
State Water Resources Control Board

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency
Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
Bureau of Reclamation
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Department of Commerce
National Marine Fisheries Service

Ms. Leslie Grober December 2, 1997 Page Two

You also questioned the estimate for selenium in Table 4-6, suggesting that the estimate of 2,000 lbs/yr. for the San Joaquin Basin seemed low. Unfortunately, the Component Report-did not include our latest estimates, which were about 9,000 lbs/yr. This estimate is also more in agreement with data published in the CVRWQCB 1996 Agricultural Drainage Report for the Grassland Area.

In summary, our work continues to progress and we will make every effort to include the most complete information in the programmatic EIS/EIR. Thank you for your constructive comments.

If you have further comments, please contact Judy Heath at CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Water Quality Unit, 1416 9th Street, Room 1148, Sacramento, California 95814, or phone (916) 653-2994.

Sincerely.

Richard Woodard

CALFED Bay-Delta Program

Enclosures

JHeath:PBudzinski